PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

rom ine INTERNATIONAL S	EARCHING AUTH	ORITY						
To: GEOFFREY. L. MELNICK G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD. 11 MENACHEM BEGIN STREET RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL 52 521			PCT					
			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
			Date of mailing (day/month/year)	10 OCT 2006				
Applicant's or agent's file reference			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below					
31144								
International applica	tion No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/IL06/00059		15 January 2006 (15.01.2006)		13 January 2005 (13.01.2005)				
International Patent	Classification (IPC)	or both national classifica	ition and IPC					
	66(2006.01)							
USPC: 250/370.0 Applicant	8,363.04							
	MICS II C							
SPECTRUM DYNA	AMICS ELC							
1. This opinion co	ntains indications re	lating to the following iter	ms:					
Box No.	Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion							
Box No.	Box No. II Priority							
Box No	. III Non-establ	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
Box No	. IV Lack of un	Lack of unity of invention						
Box No	. V Reasoned s applicabilit	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No	. VI Certain do	Certain documents cited						
Box No	. VII Certain de	Certain defects in the international application						
Box No	. VIII Certain ob	servations on the internati	onal application					
2. FURTHER A	CTION							
International P	reliminary Examini than this one to be	ng Authority ("IPEA") e	except that this does IPEA has notified the	be considered to be a written opinion of the s not apply where the applicant chooses an ne International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) idered.				
IPEA a written mailing of Form	n reply together, w n PCT/ISA/220 or b	here appropriate, with an pefore the expiration of 22	mendments, before t	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the the expiration of 3 months from the date of iority date, whichever expires later.				
For further opt	ions, see Form PCT	/ISA/220.						
3. For further det	ails, see notes to Fo	rm PCT/ISA/220.						
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Date o opinion			letion of this	David Porta Julia Po				
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450			er 2006 (01.09.2006) Telephone No. 571-272-2444					

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCF/IL06/00059

Box No. I Basis of this opinion					
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
the international application in the language in which it was filed					
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).					
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
a. type of material					
a sequence listing					
table(s) related to the sequence listing					
b. format of material					
on paper					
in electronic form					
c. time of filing/furnishing					
contained in the international application as filed.					
filed together with the international application in electronic form.					
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
1 Intrinsica succeducing to any commency to the fact of the fact o					
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.					
4. Additional comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL06/00059

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1. Staten	nent						
	Novelty (N)	Claims	NONE	YES			
	Novelly (N)		1-17	***			
	Inventive step (IS)		NONE	2 - 2			
		Claims	1-17	NO			
	Y doublet emplicability (TA)	Claims	1-17	YES			
	Industrial applicability (IA)		NONE				
the Compt based on t	iscloses a similar SPECT image reconstration scatter angle and initial and final phothe SPECT measurement of the radiophast meet the criteria set out in PCT Articide or used in industry.	ton energies, an rmaceutical in th	d where the diagnosis and future dose a body and special patient parameters.	administration is determined			

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- 2. [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, the written opinion of the International Searching Authority will, except in certain cases where the International Preliminary Examining Authority did not act as International Searching Authority and where it has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b), be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority. If a demand is made, the applicant may submit to the International Preliminary Examining Authority a reply to the written opinion together, where appropriate, with amendments before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later (Rule 43bis.1(c)).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.